			AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/767,262	TANBA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack I. Berman	2881	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (Continuously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOR of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and the continuously mailed in the Office of Upon Petition by the Applicant.	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in r other appropriate community of the HTS. This application is sund MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. A This communication is responsive to the amendment filed or	<u>1 10 June 2005</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .			•
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 30 January 2004 and 10 June 2005 ar	e accepted by the Examin	er.	
4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for foreign priority und a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for foreign priority documents have be completed as a claim for file of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for file of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for file of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for file of the priority documents have be completed as a claim for file of the priority documents have be completed below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONME THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted in the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority documents have be completed by the Notice of Draftsperson of the priority of the priority documents have be completed by the attached Examiner's priority documents have be completed by the attached Examiner's priority documents have be completed as such in the priority documents have be completed as such in the claim for file of the priority documents have be completed as such in the claim for file of the priority documents have be completed by the priority documents have be completed by the priority documents have be completed by the priority documents have be calculated by the priority documents have be completed by the priority documents have be completed by the priority documents have be calculated by the priority documents h	peen received. peen received in Application peen received in Application peen received in Application peen received this communication to file NT of this application.  Ped. Note the attached EXA reason(s) why the oath or the submitted. Present Drawing Review Amendment / Comment or Penalty Should be written on the Penalty Should Bellow	n No  If in this national stage application this national stage application are ply complying with the recommendation is deficient.  If (PTO-948) attached the complete action of the drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).  ERIAL must be submitted. Note that the submitted.	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview St Paper No./ 7. ☐ Examiner's 8. ☑ Examiner's 9. ☐ Other	formal Patent Application (PTC) ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo  Jack Berman PRIMARY EXAMINER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 2881

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: As applicant argues in the remarks accompanying the amendment filed on June 10, 2005, the prior art does not suggest configuring the objective lens in the Müller et al. apparatus so that the focusing magnetic field formed by the lens is stronger at the position of the sample than at the position of the transmitted signal conversion member. Also, applicant's argument that the slit 29 in the Müller et al. apparatus does not constitute applicant's claimed "aperture for obstructing part of the transmitted signal particles" because it does not obstruct any particles from reaching the energy analyzer 28 is convincing.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack I. Berman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) with every second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,262 Page 3

Art Unit: 2881

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack I. Berman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2881

jb 6/26/05